

FILED

MAY 19 2008

RICHARD W. WIEKING
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Romeo E21ke

Plaintiff / Petitioner

vs.

MR. LAKSHMI MITTAL

Defendant / Respondent

el al

Case No. 08-cv-01867
MEJ

Document Name:

Exhibits 140, 141, 142,
143, 144, 145, 146, 147
148, 149, 150, 151, 152
153, 154, 155, 156,
157, 158

List of Exhibits

Exhibit 140 receipt for Docket NO. 114754
Superior Court of California, County of Alameda.

Exhibit 141 State's Attorney viewing plaintiff's
criminal file NO. 114754, Note at this point
there is NO-excuse for the State's persecutor
not knowing that Plaintiff was not qualified
for the charge from COOK COUNTY, Illinois
Criminal Court.

Exhibit 142, 143 letter from Plaintiff
written to the sentencing judge from State's
Prison.

Exhibit 144, 145 sentencing in Superior
Court. Plea - Deal

Exhibit 146 Plaintiff attempting to tell
the judge the truth of the situation.
Line 14 & 15

Exhibit 147 Plaintiff attempting to tell
the judge base on the advice of his
lawyer he had NO-choice. Line 19

Exhibit 148 Plaintiff's attempt to
tell the judge that he was been
threatened at SANTA RITA JAIL to
take a plea - bargain.

Exhibit 149 Plaintiff is not contest
Case 3:08-cv-01867-MEJ Document 6 Filed 05/19/2008 Page 3 of 23
Plea-bargain. Note: the woman was
twenty-seven years old. Line 1-17

Exhibit 150 - Plaintiff disagreed with
Public Defender, who continued to convince
Plaintiff that the deal was in his best
interest because the situation was political.

Exhibit 151 Plaintiff at the preliminary
hearing - A classic set-up by the
teamsters. After setting him up with a
twenty-seven years woman the persecutor,
judge and Public Defender who Plaintiff
believe was working together added a
charge for a person under fourteen
years old. Note this charge was not
part of the plea-bargain.

Exhibit 152 keep in mind the rehearse
victim was twenty-seven years old.

Exhibit 153 Alarmed a Police record of
the woman used to set Plaintiff up for a
sexual assault charge in 1993. Note her
date of birth 12/13/65.

Exhibits 154, 155, 156 Plaintiff civil suit
dismissed in the judge's court assigned a number
on appeal with another case in his court.
Exhibits 157, 158 evidence of obstruction
Plaintiff case in the Northern District Federal
Court of FL. Note never made it to

the Appeal court. The case
1:04 CV 04476 Romeo Ezike v
Hoffman Enclosures, Inc., DHL and
Mike Bauman was assigned numbers
of cases in the court. There is
no evidence that the judges on
the Seventh Circuit court of
Appeal made decision on his case
before he was incarcerated or after
his illegal incarceration and
tortured in Cook County jail
and Elgin Mental Health
Center.

08 CV 1867 Exhibit 140

REG
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ALAMEDA
RENE C. DAVIDSON COURTHOUSE
OAKLAND, CA 94612

DATE: 05/13/08 RECEIPT NO.: 114754 NAME: COPIES
RECEIPT PER PAGE: RECEIPT NO.: 10513081JF537
AMOUNT DUE: \$ 6.50
AMOUNT TENDERED: \$ 6.50
CHANGE RECEIVED: \$ 0.00
MODE: CN

08 CV 1867



OFFICE OF THE STATE'S ATTORNEY
COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS

RICHARD A. DEVINE
STATE'S ATTORNEY

CRIMINAL PROSECUTIONS BUREAU
2650 SOUTH CALIFORNIA AVENUE
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60608

DATE 4/24/06

TO: SALLY

FAX NO. (510) 835 4850

FROM: ASA MICHELE POPIELEWSKI
(773)869-7131

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES 1

(including this one)

FURTHER COMMENTS: SALLY - COULD YOU PLEASE
SEND TO MY ATTENTION AT 2650 S. CALIFORNIA,
ROOM 12B30, CHICAGO ILLINOIS 60608 A CERTIFIED
COPY OF CONVICTION / SENTENCING ORDER REGARDING
THE CASE OF ROMEO EZIK, CASE # 714754 FOR
USE IN A CRIMINAL CASE NOW PENDING AGAINST
MR. EZIK IN CHICAGO - ANY QUESTIONS,
PLEASE CALL ME AT (773) 869- 7131

THANK YOU

If you have any questions, or if this fax is incomplete,
 please call 773-869- 7131

5/17/06
JW

Exhibit 141

Daneil

8-23-93

114754

AUB 576
3118904

Dear Sir,

Sentence Review/Reduction Request. Sir: Honor Judge, lend me your ears. I believe a gross injustice has been committed against me.

I was sentence by you on the 6th of April, 1993 to five years in State Prison for P207 and P289. There are several important facts that I like to bring to your attention:

- a) My accuser voluntarily got in the car on her own will.
- b) I stop at a chervon Mini Mart and left the car with the keys in the ignition for more than five minutes with the engine on.
- c) At no time when we were together did she alerted me to any concerns of her safety.
- d) While in the car everything were normal until the arrival of the police. That is the time she began this frenzy role playing. What the Motive I do not know.

Why did I elect to take a plea bargain?

- a) My Lawyer advice me that being innocence was not a good reason to go to trial. He also told me that the best he could do because of the political pressure from the Media and the women's group was a hung Jury.
- b) I asked several times for the evidences against me to be presented in the court, but it was held (DNA RESULT) which i believe would had vindicated me from any sexual acts.
- c) I was also told by my Lawyer that in a rape case a jury do not have to look at the physical evidence. The testimony alone of my accuser was enough to convict me.

FILED
ALAMEDA COUNTY

AUG 25 1993

RONALD G. OVERHOLT, Exec. Off./Clerk
By James J. Mangin

n Exhibit 142

d) If I was to go to trial and ^{be} find guilty--I could face up to 18 to 30 years in jail because my charges are all or none. This means that I could only be find guilty of all the charges or none of the charges.

e) I made several attempts to change my Public Defendant but was unsuccessful.

f) My ignorance of the American Judicial System contributed to the plea.

I have suffered physical and emotional pain, since my incarceration. I took off working and became a full time student taking pre-requisite courses for physical Therapy. I have a college degree from a State College. My only other experience with the judicial System was a minor incident that was dropped when the truth came out.

I was told by you and my public defendant that I could work here in prison ^{and} get half time, but the reality is that the prison does not have jobs for me and other and other who are willing to work. It is also the same with the vocational programs. Most of these jobs and programs have a very slow turn over rate , which means that it could take about six month to get a job. I have been reduced to sitting around doing nothing. I request that you please considered these facts and reduced my sentence so that I can once more joined my family and be a productive citizen.

ROMEO EZIK H74312

Exhibit 143

1 MR. OGUL: No, thank you.

2 THE COURT: How about with respect to your client?

3 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.

4 THE COURT: All right.

5 Then to the People. Would you like to be heard
6 Counsel?

7 MR. BURROWS: Your Honor, I have nothing to say.
8 If I could have one moment?

9 THE COURT: All right.

10 MR. BURROWS: No, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: All right.

12 No other evidence with respect to the evidentiary
13 portion of the hearing?

14 MR. BURROWS: No, Your Honor, no other evidence.

15 THE COURT: All right. Then pursuant to Rule of
16 Court 412, this having been a negotiated disposition and
17 there being no objection by the District Attorney, the
18 Court will impose the sentence now as follows:

19 The defendant having been found guilty of Count 1
20 of the Information, a violation of Section 207 and also
21 having been found guilty of Count 5, a violation of
22 Section 289 of the Penal Code which is with respect to
23 Count 1, the 207, the Court imposes the agreed upon mid
24 term for that offense as five years and with respect to
25 Count 5, the violation of Section 289, the Court imposes
26 the agreed upon low term of three years for that
27 offense. Said three years to run concurrent with the
28 term of five years imposed on Count 1 for a total term

KATHY A. LYONS
CSR #7230

Exhibit 144

AUB 576 3
J 118904

1 of five years.

2 Now, in addition, the Court imposes a \$200
3 restitution fine pursuant to 13967A of the Penal Code.
4 Said fine is to be deducted from the defendant's pay
5 pursuant to 2085.5 of the Penal Code. Twenty percent
6 thereof is to be taken out as in accordance with that
7 statute.

8 In addition, you ought to know that you are
9 entitled Mr. Ezik to a deduction of your sentence under
10 the truth and credit law. I have to tell you that of up
11 to one third or one half of your sentence just imposed
12 by the Court. Of course you have to earn those credits.
13 All right. Do you understand that?

14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

15 THE COURT: All right.

16 The Court therefore -- that is the order in the
17 matter. You have a total credit of 142 days. Any
18 objection?

19 MR. OGUL: No.

20 THE COURT: That will be the total credit as
21 indicated by the Court.

22 MR. BURROWS: Your Honor, the plea bargain in
23 violation of a fine pursuant to 290.3.

24 THE COURT: The Court also imposes that fine too.
25 He is remanded to the custody of the Sheriff, ordered to
26 be delivered forthwith to the Department of Corrections
27 whereupon he will begin to serve his time in the matter.
28 The defendant is to pay a \$200 restitution fine and at a

KATHY A. LYONS
CSR #7230

Exhibit 145

1 THE DEFENDANT: I understand. Yes, sir.

2 THE COURT: All right. You should further
3 understand that you are giving up some very valuable
4 rights. I want to go over those with you and also let
5 me make sure you understand you're pleading to what's
6 called a state prison offense because I am going to
7 sentence you to state prison and that has some
8 consequences to. It means that if you were to go out in
9 the future and commit another felony offense and get
10 convicted and get sentenced to state prison on that
11 offense, the Court can add one more year to any sentence
12 that you get for a new felony conviction because of your
13 plea here today. Do you understand that?

14 THE DEFENDANT: I did not commit no offense, but I
15 understand, yes.

16 THE COURT: Well, here is the problem. I know you
17 have discussed this matters with your Counsel and you
18 have made a determination to plead no contest and I am
19 going to go over with you what pleading no contest
20 means.

21 THE DEFENDANT: I understand that.

22 THE COURT: But you have to make sure that you're
23 doing this after having considered everything that you
24 considered, that you're doing this freely and
25 voluntarily and I am going to ask you those questions
26 when I get to the end of telling you all the
27 consequences and if you don't feel like you're doing
28 this freely and voluntarily then of course I cannot

KATHY A. LYONS,
CSR #7230

Exhibit 146

AUB 576
3118904 12

1 the consequences are the same as if you plead guilty or
2 no contest and you are still going to get the same
3 amount of time. Do you understand that?

4 THE DEFENDANT: I understand it.

5 THE COURT: So, by entering a plea of no contest,
6 you are foregoing and giving up your right to remain
7 silent. Do you understand that?

8 THE DEFENDANT: I understand that.

9 THE COURT: And do you give that right up?

10 THE DEFENDANT: I do.

11 THE COURT: You also at the trial have a right to
12 testify yourself, call your own witnesses that you think
13 have information about these charges and use subpoenas
14 issued by the Court to make those witnesses come in if
15 they wouldn't do so voluntarily.

16 THE DEFENDANT: I understand.

17 THE COURT: Do you realize that you're giving each
18 and every one of those rights up if you enter this plea?

19 THE DEFENDANT: I have no choice.

20 THE COURT: Well, I tell you what, I don't feel
21 comfortable taking the plea. I really don't. We'll set
22 it for trial. I understand you know, you have to do
23 what you feel is necessary and right and to be honest
24 with you, that is the only way I would take a plea of no
25 contest or otherwise if I feel that you understand your
26 rights and you're willing to give them up.

27 MR. OGUL: May I have one moment, Your Honor?

28 THE COURT: Sure. Now Mr. Ezik, when I was you

KATHY A. LYONS,
CSR #7230

Exhibit 147

1 your right to compulsory process, that is your right to
2 testify yourself and your right to call your own
3 witnesses and your right to subpoena witnesses if they
4 wouldn't come in voluntarily, indicated to me that you
5 understood that you had those rights, but you felt
6 because you were in custody and you couldn't make bail
7 that you didn't have much of a choice. I want to make
8 sure that you understand first off that you have those
9 rights. They are given to you. Do you understand that?

10 THE DEFENDANT: I understand.

11 THE COURT: I also want to make sure because you
12 indicated that you're willing to give those rights you
13 that you are giving those rights up because after having
14 thought and discussed the matter with your attorney and
15 talked about the evidence, what evidence and the factors
16 you may consider even though you feel that your custody
17 status has something to do with your condition, I want
18 to make sure that having thought about your custody
19 status and everything else, that you have decided that
20 this is in your best interest to do so and you want to
21 do this freely and voluntarily. That is, to give up
22 these rights. Is that correct?

23 THE DEFENDANT: It is correct, Your Honor.

24 THE COURT: All right. And so, the fact that you
25 haven't made bail and bail has been set in the case,
26 that has not in any way caused you to feel that you are
27 being intimidated or threatened into doing this. You
28 are doing this because you have considered all these

KATHY A. LYONS,
CSR #7230

1 become part of the record for a basis of a factual
2 finding for the plea. I have also reviewed the District
3 Attorney's summary in the matter and adopt the facts set
4 forth therein as a basis for the factual finding now and
5 based on entiretu of this record and the stipulation of
6 Counsel, I do accept the pleas and I find the defendant
7 guilty Count 1, a violation of Section 207 as charged
8 therein as a felony and of Count 5, a violation of
9 Section 289 of the Penal Code as a felony.

10 Now, with respect to the remainig offenses, the
11 enhancement 208d in Count 1, Count 2 and the enhancement
12 667.8, Count 3, Count 4 and the enhancement under 667.8
13 under Count 5 as well as Count 6 and the enhancement
14 under 667.8 are dismissed and stricken pursuant to the
15 terms of the negotiated disposition.

16 The defendant is remanded on this case. Time is
17 not waived for sentencing?

18 MR. OGUL: Your Honor, Mr. Ezik is really hoping
19 the Court can sentence him today. We understand that
20 ordinarily, the Court would want a probation report in
21 this matter, however, Mr. -- well, number one, did
22 sentence is fixed. I don't believe there is any dispute
23 concerning what probation -- Mr. Ezik has been feeling
24 somewhat emotional I believe as everyone can observe
25 today and basically he does not want to linger here in
26 Alameda County. He recognizes his decision was a freely
27 and voluntarily decision, but it was very difficult to
28 decision for him to make and he wanted to basically put

KATHY A. LYONS,
CSR #7230

1 Alameda County behind him and begin serving his
2 sentence. As he said he has that coming to him after
3 entering into this settlement. He basically wants to
4 get on with his life and finish his prison sentence as
5 soon as possible and get into an educational program in
6 prison. Therefore, he is hoping the Court could
7 sentence him today.

8 THE COURT: I understand his position and I take it
9 in heart, but let me ask you one thing. Has the emotion
10 that you felt today in any way caused you to want to do
11 anything different than enter your plea of no contest
12 with an understanding of your rights, that way that you
13 said you understood them and weighed them? Has your
14 emotions affected you at all in understanding those
15 rights, waiving them?

16 THE DEFENDANT: No way.

17 THE COURT: All right. I understand your position
18 Mr. Ogul, but the Court is going to refer it over for
19 probation notwithstanding. We'll do it on a no time
20 waiver basis and get it back here as fast as we can so
21 he can put Alameda County behind him, but nevertheless,
22 he is remanded on this. No bail. He is referred to the
23 probation department for a presentence report and the
24 defendant is ordered to comply in the preparation of
25 that report, okay.

26 THE CLERK: April 7th, 9:00 a.m..

27 (Whereupon this matter was concluded.)

28 ---oo---

KATHY A. LYONS,
CSR #7230

AUB 576
318904

142

1 was, there would be no more than." And so I'm saying that
2 for purpose of the preliminary hearing, and on a 995, that
3 the 289(a), Anal Penetration, would not amount to a sodomy.

4 THE COURT: Is there any other argument as to the
5 other Counts, or is it submitted?

6 MR. BARNI: I just want to clarify the last comment.
7 I'm not saying that the penetration by the finger of an eighth
8 of an inch is a sodomy. I'm saying that's a penetration by a
9 foreign object and that conduct, in conjunction with the other
10 conduct of pulling down her clothes and touching her buttocks,
11 was all preparation for an attempt of sodomy.

12 THE COURT: I understand the argument.

13 Are there any other issues that anybody wishes to
14 argue or is the matter submitted?

15 MR. BARNI: Submitted.

16 MR. O'TOOLE: Submitted, Judge.

17 THE COURT: All right, it appearing to me that the
18 offenses --

19 MR. O'TOOLE: Judge, may I ask you one thing? I am
20 sorry. I asked you to look at 288a(c). I don't believe that
21 these Counts are properly charged. I think one refers to a
22 minor. I may be wrong. But I would ask the Court to please
23 look at the Penal Code.

24 THE COURT: Yes, "under 14 years of age".

25 MR. O'TOOLE: I believe that's mischarged, and I
26 would ask that he not be held to answer as to that Count.

27 THE COURT: Off the record.

28 (Off-the record discussion).

1 THE COURT: All right, back on the record.

2 It would appear, after looking at the section, that
3 this is the proper section as charged.

4 MR. O'TOOLE: Your Honor, after you have addressed
5 the sex Counts, may I address the kidnapping Count?

6 THE COURT: Now would be an appropriate time to do
7 that.

8 MR. O'TOOLE: Your Honor, whatever the Court's
9 feelings, as regards the various sexual Counts, it seems to me
10 that this woman, getting a ride in a car, and the person
11 taking her to a destination other than the one that she had
12 agreed upon, and especially following conversation about "Take
13 me to a party", and she said there were no threats, actual
14 threats made to her, other than the fact that he made a turn
15 that she felt was not the turn to San Francisco, that that was
16 a dangerous act, that she considered that a dangerous act,
17 I don't believe that there was an actual kidnapping in the
18 sense that we mean that someone is brought into a car and
19 held in a car against their will.

20 You know, she says "Should I go over to the clerk
21 with you?", and he says, "No". And she, herself, didn't even,
22 when I asked her later on, didn't even categorize that as a
23 threat. I don't think that an actual kidnapping as such
24 occurred.

25 I would submit it to the Court.

26 THE COURT: Is the matter submitted, Mr. Barni?

27 MR. BARNI: Submitted.

28 THE COURT: All right, it appearing to me that the

INCIDENT	DATE AND TIME REPORTED 11392 0255	LOCATION OCCURRED ADJACENT TO 2203 MARINER SQ	UCR CLASS	CRIME CODE 261 PC / 288 PC
	DATE AND TIME OCCURRED FROM 11392 0206	VICTIMS LOCATION AT TIME OCCURRED	SAME	FELONY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MISD <input type="checkbox"/> REPORT CLASS INFRACT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MISD <input type="checkbox"/> ORAL ATTAPE / COPULATION
	HOW REPORTED: ON VIEWED PHONE <input type="checkbox"/> PERSON <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER	OBJECT OF ATTACK (V) IS PERSON	TOTAL VALUE \$ N/A	DOMESTIC VIO <input type="checkbox"/> DISABILITY <input type="checkbox"/> ELDERLY <input type="checkbox"/> ETHNIC <input type="checkbox"/> SEX/PREF <input type="checkbox"/> RACE MOTIVE <input type="checkbox"/> RELIGIOUS MOTIVE <input type="checkbox"/>

VIC	NAME N1) VERDUPMEN, LAI JUSTINE	RACE W F	SEX 27	AGE DOB 12/3/65	HOME PHONE 415/752-7658
	ADDRESS 229 19th AVE #12, SAN FRANCISCO	BUS NAME/ADDRESS PERMANENT RESIDENCE 2186 NW KEARNEY, PORTLAND, OR 97210			BUS PHONE 503/224-0978

OTHERS	NAME	RACE	SEX	AGE	DOB	HOME PHONE
	ADDRESS	BUS NAME/ADDRESS				BUSINESS PHONE
	NAME	RACE	SEX	AGE	DOB	HOME PHONE
	ADDRESS	BUS NAME/ADDRESS				BUSINESS PHONE

VEHICLE	SUSPECT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> NO. 1	AUTO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BIKE <input type="checkbox"/> BOAT <input type="checkbox"/> M/C <input type="checkbox"/> PU <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER	CLASSIFICATION: STOLEN <input type="checkbox"/> LOST <input type="checkbox"/> TOWED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EVIDENCE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RECOVERED <input type="checkbox"/> OBSERVATION <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER	VALUE \$	SVS IN	SVS OUT			
	LICENSE/CF NO. VALD	STATE CA	YEAR 84	MAKE TOYOTA	MODEL COROLLA	COLOR GOLD	VIN/SERIAL JT2AE8LC4E0075298	STYLE 2DR	B/G-SPD	SIZE
	DESCRIPTION / # / OAN#									

SUSPECT / MISSING / SO	NAME (S) EZIKE, ROMEO (F/N)	RACE B	SEX M	AGE 32	DOB 10/29/59	HOME PHONE N/PH
	ADDRESS 3010 HARPER ST, BERKELEY	BUS NAME/ADDRESS 99703 UNEMP				BUS PHONE
	PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION HEIGHT 509	WEIGHT 210	HAIR BLK	STYLE SHORT	EYES BRN	PFN/APD # AUB 576
	GLASSES	COMPLEX MED	BR	FACIAL	MARKS	DUOTHER # A6514492
	OTHER					
	CLOTHING DESCRIPTION HAT	COAT GRAY	SHIRT FLOWER PRINT	UNDER SHIRT	PANTS BLK	NCIC/PIN
	SHOES	BLK	SOCKS	GLOVES	JEWELRY	IN OUT
	ADDITIONAL	CITE #				COURT DATE/TIME

MO	(S) PROMISES TO DRIVE (V) HOME INSTEAD (S) DRIVES (V) TO ISOLATED (S) RESTRAINS (V) TO REAR (V) IN CAR. (S) FORCES (V) TO PERFORM ORAL COPULATION. (S) ATTEMPTS RAPE THAT IS STOPPED BY MY TOOL/WEAPON ARRESTED HANDS THREATS CAR									

NARRATIVE / PROPERTY	A. ADDITIONAL PERSONS (V / RP / W / S) / VEHICLES		B. DETAILS OF OCCURRENCE		C. MISC. INFO: STATEMENTS, NEIGHBORHOOD CHECK, CRIMINAL RECORD, ETC.		D. PROPERTY			
	PROPERTY CLASSIFICATION: S-STOLEN R-RECOVERED L-LOST F-FOUND D-DAMAGED									
ITEM	CLS	QAN	ARTICLE	BRAND	MODEL	SERIAL# / OAN	AGE	VALUE	APS IN	OUT

B. DETAILS	ON 1/3/92 AT APPROX 0255 HRS I WAS PATROLLING A COMMERCIAL DISTRICT IN A MARKED PATROL VEH. DURING THIS TIME I PULLED INTO THE N/E ENTRANCE TO THE PARKING LOT OF 2203 MARINER SQUARE. THIS ADDRESS IS THE LOCATION OF A DANCE CLUB WHICH IS NOW CLOSED DUE TO A PAST FIRE. THE CLUB IS IN AN ISOLATED AREA AND DUE TO THE TIME HAD NO TRAFFIC GOING BY.									
	I DROVE INTO THE LOT AND TRAVELED AROUND THE REAR OF THE									

SOLVABILITY FACTORS (CHECK THE BOXES THAT APPLY)											
A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IS A SUSPECT NAMED? B <input type="checkbox"/> CAN A SUSPECT BE LOCATED? C <input type="checkbox"/> CAN A SUSPECT BE DESCRIBED? D <input type="checkbox"/> CAN A SUSPECT BE IDENTIFIED? E <input type="checkbox"/> CAN A SUSPECT VEHICLE BE IDENTIFIED?					F <input type="checkbox"/> IS THERE A WITNESS TO THE CRIME? G <input type="checkbox"/> IS STOLEN PROPERTY IDENTIFIABLE? H <input type="checkbox"/> IS THERE A SIGNIFICANT M.O.? I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IS THERE SIGNIFICANT EVIDENCE? J <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IS THERE A MAJOR INJURY/SEX CRIME?						
K <input type="checkbox"/> IS THIS PART OF A CRIME PATTERN? L <input type="checkbox"/> IS FURTHER INVESTIGATION NEEDED? M <input type="checkbox"/> WAS THE CRIME SCENE PROCESSED? N <input type="checkbox"/> CASE REFERRED TO INVESTIGATIONS? O <input type="checkbox"/> HAS A CAREER CRIMINAL BEEN IDENTIFIED?											
CRIME SCENE: TECHNICIAN RESPONDING #		ID WORK: LATENTS <input type="checkbox"/> PHOTOS <input type="checkbox"/>		SPECIAL EQUIPMENT: BOAT <input type="checkbox"/> CANINE <input type="checkbox"/> CIRT <input type="checkbox"/> ARREST REG							
REQUEST COMPLETED BY #		EVIDENCE COLLECTION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OTHER		AFD <input type="checkbox"/> HELICOPTER <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER		IN OUT					
73S: DICTATED <input type="checkbox"/>		COPIES: INV. OFF # 50 DD# 50 ID #		STATUS: PENDING <input type="checkbox"/> SUSPENDED <input type="checkbox"/> CLEARED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>							
F/U DATE		DBRF BY CII WATCH COM <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER		UNFOUNDED <input type="checkbox"/> COMPLETED <input type="checkbox"/> REFERRED TO							
REPORTING OFFICER #		DATE/TIME COMPLETED 1/3/92 1128		INITIAL REVIEW		DPU		DPU		FINAL REVIEW	
SMITH 50											

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT

Dirksen Federal Building
Room 2722 - 219 S. Dearborn Street
Chicago, Illinois 60604



Office of the Clerk
Phone: (312) 435-5850
www.ca7.uscourts.gov

NOTICE OF CASE OPENING

May 7, 2008

No.: 08-2139	ROMEO EZIKE, Plaintiff - Appellant v. AMTRAK, COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS, COOK COUNTY JAIL, et al., Defendants - Appellees
--------------	--

Originating Case Information:

District Court No: 1:07-cv-01972
 Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division
 Court Reporter K. Fennell
 Clerk/Agency Rep Michael Dobbins
 District Judge Ruben Castillo

Case date filed: 05/07/2008
 Case type: cv/pri
 Fee status: Due
 Date of Judgment: 04/24/2008
 Date NOA filed: 05/06/2008

The above-captioned appeal has been docketed in the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit.

Deadlines:

<u>Appeal No.</u>	<u>Filer</u>	<u>Document</u>	<u>Due Date</u>
08-2139	Romeo Ezike	Docketing statement due	05/13/2008

Exhibit 154

08 cv 1867

COX

United States District Court
Northern District of Illinois - CM/ECF LIVE, Ver 3.1.3 (Chicago)
CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 1:08-cv-02139

Johnson et al v. Simon et al
Assigned to: Honorable Ruben Castillo
Cause: 42:1983 Civil Rights (Employment Discrimination)

Date Filed: 04/15/2008
Jury Demand: Plaintiff
Nature of Suit: 442 Civil Rights: Jobs
Jurisdiction: Federal Question

Plaintiff

Vietta L Johnson
M.D.

represented by **Linda C. Chatman**
Chatman Law Offices, LLC
19 South LaSalle
#1500
Chicago, IL 60603
(312)917-1005
Email: lindachatman@chatmanlaw.com

*LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

Plaintiff

Daniel Ivankovich
M.D.

represented by **Linda C. Chatman**
(See above for address)
*LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

Plaintiff

Karen Nash
M.D.

represented by **Linda C. Chatman**
(See above for address)
*LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

V.

Defendant

**Cook County Bureau of Health
Services**

represented by **Cook County Bureau of Health
Services**
PRO SE

Jamieson Brent Bowman
Cook County State's Attorney's Office
500 Daley Center
Suite 565

Exhibit 155

08 CV 1867

Chicago, IL 60602
 312-603-3032
 Email: jbowman@cookcountygov.com
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

Robert R Simon

M.D., individually and in his official capacity

Defendant

Aaron Hamb

M.D.

Defendant

Clifford Crawford

M.D., individually and in his officiaal capacity

Date Filed	#	Docket Text
04/15/2008	<u>1</u>	COMPLAINT filed by Vietta L Johnson, Daniel Ivankovich, Karen Nash; Jury Demand. (Poor Quality Original - Paper Document on File) (tlm,) Modified on 4/16/2008 (ef,). (Entered: 04/15/2008)
04/15/2008	<u>2</u>	CIVIL Cover Sheet (tlm) (Entered: 04/15/2008)
04/15/2008	<u>3</u>	ATTORNEY Appearance for Plaintiffs Vietta L Johnson, Daniel Ivankovich, Karen Nash by Linda C. Chatman (tlm,) (Entered: 04/15/2008)
04/17/2008	<u>5</u>	SUMMONSES Issued, four originals, as to Defendants Clifford Crawford, Cook County Bureau of Health Services, Robert R Simon, Aaron Hamb. (ar,) (Entered: 04/18/2008)
04/29/2008	<u>6</u>	ATTORNEY Appearance for Defendant Cook County Bureau of Health Services by Jamieson Brent Bowman (Bowman, Jamieson) (Entered: 04/29/2008)
04/30/2008	<u>7</u>	MOTION by Defendant Cook County Bureau of Health Services for extension of time to file answer (Bowman, Jamieson) (Entered: 04/30/2008)

Exhibit 156

PACER Service Center		
Transaction Receipt		
05/16/2008 11:43:11		
PACER Login:	sf0787	Client Code:

of CV 867

CLOSED, COLE

United States District Court
Northern District of Illinois - CM/ECF LIVE, Ver 3.1.3 (Chicago)
CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 1:05-cv-04413

Garcia v. National Railroad Passenger Corporation et al
Assigned to: Honorable Charles P. Kocoras
Cause: 28:1441 Petition for Removal- Personal Injury

Date Filed: 08/02/2005
Date Terminated: 10/19/2006
Jury Demand: None
Nature of Suit: 360 P.I.: Other
Jurisdiction: Federal Question

Plaintiff

Angel Garcia
*as independent Administrator of the
Estate of Miguel Angel Garcia,
deceased*

represented by **Robert J. Adelman**
Levin, Riback Law Group, PC
200 N. LaSalle Street
Suite 2300
Chicago, IL 60601
(312) 782-6717
Email: radelman@levinriback.com
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Adam Bryan Riback
Levin, Riback Law Group, P.C.
200 N. LaSalle Street
Suite 2300
Chicago, IL 60601
(312) 782-6717
Fax: (312) 782-5128
Email: ariback@levinriback.com
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Richard Ira Levin
Levin, Riback Law Group, P.C.
200 North LaSalle Street
Suite 2300
Chicago, IL 60601
312-782-6717
Email: rlevin@levinriback.com
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Steven Ralph Levin
Levin, Riback Law Group, P.C.
200 N. LaSalle Street
Suite 2300

Exhibit 157
08 CV 1867

DENLOW, PC

United States District Court
Northern District of Illinois - CM/ECF LIVE, Ver 3.1.3 (Chicago)
CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 1:04-cv-03585

Hodges v. Briley, et al
Assigned to: Honorable Samuel Der-Yeghiayan
Demand: \$0
Case in other court: 05-01767.
Cause: 42:1983 Prisoner Civil Rights

Date Filed: 09/09/2004
Date Terminated: 02/01/2005
Jury Demand: Defendant
Nature of Suit: 555 Civil Rights (Prison Condition)
Jurisdiction: Federal Question

Plaintiff

Richard Hodes

represented by **Richard Hodes**
#K-57184
Stateville - STV
Stateville Correctional Center
P.O. Box 112
Joliet, IL 60434
PRO SE

Notices to Prisoner Correspondence

Email:
Prison1_ILND@ilnd.uscourts.gov
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

V.

Defendant

Kenneth Briley

represented by **Alexandra C. Buzanis**
Illinois Attorney General's Office
100 West Randolph Street
13th Floor
Chicago, IL 60601
(312)814-3713
Email: abuzanis@atg.state.il.us
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Exhibit 158
08 CV 1867

IDOC Chief of Legal Services
Illinois Department of Corrections
100 West Randolph Street
4-200
Chicago, IL 60601